IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3736 OF 2004

PETITIONER

Firtu Ram Patel, S/o.Mohit Ram, Aged about 44 years, Occupation-Service (Gangaman), R/o. Village Temar, Post Temar, Tahsil Shakti, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3738/04
Presented by Shri Rahuram Chukla
dated 06/12/04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary & Ministry, Public Work Department, (B&R) D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Chief Engineer, Public Work Department, (B&R),Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. Superintendent Engineer, Public Work Department, (B&R), Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. Sub Divisional Officer, Public Work Department, (B&R) Sub Division Champa, Distt.Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
6/12/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

पथ आयुक्त
6/12/04
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर तहसील क्षेत्र

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

As mentioned in the cause title above.

(24)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : Satish K. Agnihotri, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 3735 of 2004

Gowardhan Prasad
Vs

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 3736 of 2004

Firtu Ram Patel

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 3737 of 2004

Ghasiram

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Vivek Sharma and Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyers for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORDER
(Passed on 20th September 2005)

The petitioners in this batch of writ petitions are working as permanent labourer (Gangmen) since 1977 in the Office of the respondents. The petitioners have filed this batch of writ petitions for regularization of their service.

In identical matters, the question as to whether the petitioners would retire after completion of 30 years of service or on attaining the age of 60 years, was decided by this Court by order dated 15.09.2005 passed

in Writ Petition No. 93 of 2005 and other connected matters. In the said petitions the petitioners did not press the prayer for regularization. However, they sought liberty to raise the issue of regularization of their services before the appropriate forum, if necessary. The prayer for regularization was dismissed with liberty to raise the issue seeking redressal of relief of regularization before the appropriate forum.

In the present cases, the petitioners seek direction of this Court to make a representation before the authorities for regularization of their services within a specified period.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the said direction, if granted.

If any representation is filed by the petitioners for regularization of their services, the respondents are directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law at the earliest.

In view of the foregoing, the writ petitions stand disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge